

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Acexandra, Vigonia 22313-1450 www.uspto gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

| 10/067,466 02/04/2002 Robert J. Calvet M-12486 US 6230  20985 7590 09/10/2003  FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122 |                 |          |            |                      |                     | ί,              |
|--|-----------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 20985 7590 09/10/2003  FISH & RICHARDSON, PC  4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500  SAN DIEGO, CA 92122  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB                                  | APPLICATION NO. | FI       | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122  EXAMINER  VALENCIA, DANIEL E  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB                             | 10/067,466      |          | 02/04/2002 | Robert J. Calvet     | M-12486 US          | 6230            |
| 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB   | 20985           | 7590     | 09/10/2003 |                      |                     |                 |
| SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB   |                 |          | •          | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |
| ART UNIT PAPER NUMB  | SUITE 500       |          |            |                      | VALENCIA, DANIEL E  |                 |
| 2874   | SAN DIEG        | O, CA 92 | 122        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |                 |          |            |                      | 2874                | <u></u>         |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence  | 10/067,466                        | CALVET ET AL.                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                          | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Daniel E Valencia                 | 2874  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| · - · · · - · · · · - · · · · · · · · ·   | <br>s action is non-final.        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers ————————————————————————————————————   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | armier.                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                   | 40 40   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | > priority under 50 0.0.0. 33 120 | and/ULIZI.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal P           | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-51, drawn to an assembly configured to position at least one optical element to a predetermined position, classified in class 385, subclass 52.
- II. Claims 52-64, drawn to a method of making an assembly, classified in class 430, subclass 323.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the assembly could be produced by dicing or microcutting the mating portions into the base and the payload structures.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2874

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel E Valencia whose telephone number is (703)-305-4399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

**DEV**